	Case 2:23-cv-00058-DAD-JDP Docum	ent 9 Filed 08/07/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAGHVENDRA SINGH,	No. 2:23-cv-00058-DAD-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	FOLSOM STATE PRISON,	THIS ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S
15	Defendant.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER
16		(Doc. No. 8)
17		
18	Plaintiff Raghvendra Singh is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
19	pauperis in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to	
20	a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On July 5, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to	
23	comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 8.) Specifically, because the magistrate judge found that	
24	plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim in his complaint, on April 7, 2023, the magistrate judge	
25	issued an order dismissing this action with leave to amend and requiring plaintiff to file an	
26	amended complaint within thirty (30) days of that order. (Doc. No. 5.) Plaintiff was warned that	
27	his failure to comply with that order may result in the dismissal of this action. (Id. at 3.) On May	
28	18, 2023, plaintiff moved for a 60-day extension of time to file his amended complaint. (Doc.	
		1

Case 2:23-cv-00058-DAD-JDP Document 9 Filed 08/07/23 Page 2 of 2 1 No. 6.) The assigned magistrate judge granted plaintiff's motion in part and ordered plaintiff to 2 file an amended complaint by June 19, 2023. (Doc. No. 7.) To date, plaintiff has not filed an 3 amended complaint and the deadline to do so has passed. 4 Accordingly, on July 5, 2023, the magistrate judge issued the pending findings and 5 recommendations recommending dismissal of this action due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute 6 and failure to obey a court order. (Doc. No. 8.) Those pending findings and recommendations 7 were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within 8 fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 2.) To date, no objections have been filed and the time in 9 which to do so has now passed. 10 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 11 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings 12 and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 13 Accordingly, 14 The findings and recommendations issued on July 5, 2023 (Doc. No. 8) are 1. 15 adopted; 16 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute 17 this action and failure to comply with a court order; and 3. 18 The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

20

19

Dated: **August 4, 2023**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22

21

23

24

_ _

25

26

27

28